Command/Installation/Activity:				
	FP	STAT	US	
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO ,	/ NA	REMARKS
STANDARD #9 Development of Local Threat Condition Levels				
Process in place to change THREATCON levels, when required?				
Has process been tested within the past two years?				
Can all THREATCONs be implemented? If no, are there procedures to:				
Divert/acquire local emergency assets, IAW Federal law				
Notify higher headquarters of shortfalls				
Enhanced security measures for post housing during heightened THREATCON?				
Continuous review of routine security measure effectiveness under NORMAL				

NOTES:

Command/Installation/Activity: _ Date:				
	FP	STAT	US	
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM FANDARD #24 Mission Essential Vulnerable Areas (MEVAs)	YES	NO /	[/] NA	REMARKS
MEVAs identified, prioritized, and approved by commander IAW AR 190-13?				
Periodic reviews IAW AR 190-13 to update areas designated as MEVAs?				
Physical Security Inspections of MEVAs conducted IAW AR 190-13?				
ΓANDARD #25 Lestricted Areas				
Restricted areas identified and designated IAW AR 190-13?				
Are restricted areas correctly posted?				

|--|

STANDARD #26

Random Antiterrorism Measures Program(RAMP)

observable security changes?

Variable vehicle searches, inspections documented,

Command/Installation/Activity: _ Date:				
	FP	STAT	US	
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO ,	/ NA	REMARKS
RAMP thoroughly planned, ensures random, unpredictable implementation?				
RAMP varies types of measures and their schedule of employment?				
STANDARD #27 Residential Security Assessment-Off Post Housing				
Assessments conducted on initial occupancy and periodically thereafter?				
Current TDY and permanent residences list maintained, safeguarded, in AT/FP plan?				
STANDARD #28 Facility and Site Evaluation/Selection Criteria				
Commander reviews/approves prioritized list of AT/FP factors for site selection teams?				
List in use?				
•				

NOTES:

Command/Installation/Activity:		
Date:		

	FP	STAT	US	
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO ,	/ NA	REMARKS
STANDARD #29 Law Enforcement Operations				
PM/SO adequately resourced for law enforcement operations ISO AT/FP program?				
Adequate emergency, threat, THREATCON CONPLANs developed and exercised?				
Each command/activity supporting plans helps create, receives copy of plans?				
Commander receives exercise results from AT/FP committee?				
Plans address law enforcement backfill during deployments?				
PM/SO plans detail organic/augmentation security force responsibilities?				
Plans detail increased security force requirements for higher THREATCON?				

|--|

Command/Installation/Activity: Date:		
	FP STATUS	
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES NO / NA	REMARKS

	FP	FP STATUS		
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO ,	/ NA	REMARKS
STANDARD #30 Law Enforcement Liaison				
Security Plan coordination includes:				
Coordination with applicable Federal, state, local, host nation officials?				
Outlines deployment movement, security, jurisdictional responsibilities?				
Liaison/procedures ensure receipt, spread of time-sensitive information?				
Information gained coordinated through AT/FP committee process?				
Law enforcement opns coordinated with appropriate civilian agencies?				
STANDARD #31 ID and Designation of High Risk Personnel				
Formal procedure, and have HRP been designated?				

N	\mathbf{O}	T	E	S:
	$\overline{}$	_	_	

Command/Installation/Activity: Date:				
	FP	STAT	US	
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO ,	/ NA	REMARKS
Periodic review of HRP designated persons?				
If installation has authority for HRP 2				

If installation has authority for HRP 2 designation - written copy on hand? STANDARD #32 Protective Measures for Safeguarding HRP HRP offered personal security vulnerability assessments by CID? Protective Services Details available to protect Level 1 HRP? Hardened cars available to support Level 1 HRP? Survival equipment available for HRP, to include Chemical Defense Equipment? Safe havens established in quarters and offices? Alarms installed in quarters and offices? Military Working Dogs available to conduct explosives detection sweeps?

NOTES:
